

# Blessed George Napier RC School

# Non-Examined Assessment policy

2023/24

This policy is reviewed annually to ensure compliance with current regulations

Approved/reviewed by

Date of next review October 2024

# What does this policy affect?

This policy affects the delivery of subjects of GCE and GCSE qualifications which contain a component(s) of non-examination assessment.

- "The regulator's definition of an examination is very narrow and in effect any type of assessment that is not 'set by an awarding body
- designed to be taken simultaneously by all relevant candidates at a time determined by the awarding body, and
- taken under conditions specified by the awarding body (including conditions relating to the supervision of candidates during the assessment and the duration of the assessment)

is classified as non-examined assessment (NEA).

'NEA' therefore includes, but is not limited to, internal assessment. Externally marked and/or externally set practical examinations taken at different times across centres are classified as 'NEA'. (JCQ's Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments, Foreword)

This publication is further referred to in this policy as NEA

# Purpose of the policy

The purpose of this policy, as defined by JCQ, is to:

- cover procedures for planning and managing all types of non-examination assessments
- define staff roles and responsibilities for non-examination assessments
- manage risks associated with non-examination assessments

#### What are non-examination assessments?

"Non-examination assessments measure subject-specific knowledge and skills that cannot be tested by timed written papers.

There are three assessment stages and rules which apply to each stage. These rules often vary across subjects. The stages are:

- task setting;
- task taking;
- task marking." NEA 1]

Procedures for planning and managing non-examination assessments identifying staff roles and responsibilities

# The basic principles

#### **Head of Centre**

- Returns a declaration (managed as part of the National Centre Number Register annual update) to confirm awareness of, and that relevant centre staff are adhering to, the latest version of NEA
- Ensures that the centre's *Non-examination Assessment Policy* is fit for purpose and covers all types of non-examination assessment
- Ensures the centre's *Internal Appeals Procedures* clearly details the procedure to be followed by candidates (or their parents/carers) appealing against internal assessment decisions (centre assessed marks) and requesting a review of the centre's marking

#### **Senior Leaders**

- Ensure the correct conduct of non-examination assessments (including endorsements) which comply
  with NEA and awarding body subject specific instructions
- Ensure the centre-wide calendar records assessment schedules by the start of the academic year

#### Quality assurance (QA) lead/Lead internal verifier

- Confirms with subject heads that appropriate awarding body forms and templates for non-examination assessments (including endorsements) are used by teachers and candidates
- Ensures appropriate procedures are in place to internally standardise/verify the marks awarded by subject teachers in line with awarding body criteria
- Ensures appropriate centre-devised templates are provided to capture/record relevant information given to candidates by subject teachers
- Ensures appropriate centre-devised templates are provided to capture/record relevant information is received and understood by candidates
- Where not provided by the awarding body, ensures a centre-devised template is provided for candidates to keep a detailed record of their own research, planning, resources etc.

#### Subject Leader

- Ensures subject teachers understand their role and responsibilities within the non-examination assessment process
- Ensures <u>NEA</u> and relevant awarding body subject specific instructions are followed in relation to the conduct of non-examination assessments (including endorsements)
- Works with the QA lead/Lead internal verifier to ensure appropriate procedures are followed to internally standardise/verify the marks awarded by subject teachers

#### **Subject Teacher**

- Understands and complies with the general instructions as detailed <u>NEA</u>
- Where these may also be provided by the awarding body, understands and complies with the
  awarding body's specification for conducting non-examination assessments, including any subjectspecific instructions, teachers' notes or additional information on the awarding body's website
- Marks internally assessed work to the criteria provided by the awarding body
- Ensures the exams officer is provided with relevant entry codes for subjects (whether the entry for the
  internally assessed component forms part of the overall entry code for the qualification or is made as
  a separate component/unit entry code) to the internal deadline for entries

#### **Exams Officer**

- Signposts the annually updated JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments to relevant centre staff
- Carries out tasks where these may be applicable to the role in supporting the administration/management of non-examination assessment

#### Task setting

#### **Subject Teacher**

- Selects tasks to be undertaken where a number of comparable tasks are provided by the awarding body OR designs tasks where this is permitted by criteria set out within the subject specification
- Makes candidates aware of the criteria used to assess their work

#### **Issuing of tasks**

#### Subject Teacher

- Determines when set tasks are issued by the awarding body
- Identifies date(s) when tasks should be taken by candidates
- Accesses set tasks in sufficient time to allow planning, resourcing and teaching and ensure that materials are stored securely at all time
- Ensures the correct task is issued to candidate

#### Task taking - Supervision

- Checks the awarding body's subject-specific requirements ensuring candidates take tasks under the required conditions and supervision arrangements
- Ensures there is sufficient supervision to enable the work of a candidate to be authenticated
- Ensures there is sufficient supervision to ensure the work a candidate submits is their own
- Is confident where work may be completed outside of the centre without direct supervision, that the work produced is the candidate's own.
- Where candidates may work in groups, keeps a record of each candidate's contribution and it must be
  possible to attribute assessable outcomes to individual candidates
- Ensures candidates are aware of the current JCQ documents:

<u>Information for candidates - non-examination assessments</u> Information for candidates - Social Media

- Ensure candidates understand and comply with the regulations in relevant JCQ documents Information for candidates' documents
- Ensures candidates:
  - understand that information from all sources must be referenced
  - · receive guidance on setting out references
  - are aware that they must not plagiarise other material

#### Advice and feedback

#### **Subject Teacher**

- As relevant to the subject/component, advises candidates on relevant aspects before candidates begin working on a task
- Will not provide candidates with model answers or writing frames specific to the task
- When reviewing candidates' work, unless prohibited by the specification, provides oral and written advice at a general level to candidates
- Allows candidates to revise and re-draft work after advice has been given at a general level
- Records any assistance given beyond general advice and take it into account in the marking or submit it to the external examiner
- Ensures when work has been assessed, candidates are not allowed to revise it

#### Resources

#### Subject Teacher

- Refers to the awarding body's specification and/or associated documentation to determine if candidates have restricted/unrestricted access to resources including the internet and Al when planning and researching their tasks
- Refers to the JCQ document AI Use in Assessments: Protecting the Integrity of Qualifications
   (<a href="http://www.jcq.org.uk/exams-office/malpractice">http://www.jcq.org.uk/exams-office/malpractice</a>) as well as the awarding body's specification and/or associated documentation published by the awarding bodies and the regulator
  - By referencing this document, makes candidates aware of the appropriate and inappropriate
    use of AI, the risks of using AI, and the possible consequences of using AI inappropriately in a
    qualification assessment
- Ensures conditions for any formally supervised sessions are known and put in place
- Ensures appropriate arrangements are in place to keep the work to be assessed, and any preparatory work, secure between any formally supervised sessions, including work that is stored electronically
- Ensures conditions for any formally supervised sessions are understood and followed by candidates
- Ensures candidates understand that they are not allowed to introduce improved notes or new resources between formally supervised sessions
- Ensures that where appropriate to include references, candidates keep a detailed record of their own research, planning, resources etc.

#### Word and time limits

#### **Subject Teacher**

 Refers to the awarding body's specification to determine where word and time limits apply/are mandatory

#### Collaboration and group work

#### **Subject Teacher**

- Unless stated otherwise in the awarding body's specification, and where appropriate, allows candidates to collaborate when carrying out research and preparatory work
- Ensures that it is possible to attribute assessable outcomes to individual candidates
- Ensures that where an assignment requires written work to be produced, each candidate writes up their own account of the assignment
- Assesses the work of each candidate individually

#### **Authentication procedures**

#### **Subject Teacher**

- Where required by the awarding body's specification:
  - ensures candidates sign a declaration confirming the work they submit for final assessment is their own unaided work
  - signs the teacher declaration of authentication confirming the requirements have been met
- Keeps signed candidate declarations on file until the deadline for requesting reviews of results has passed or until any appeal, malpractice or other results enquiry has been completed, whichever is later
- Provides signed candidate declarations where these may be requested by a JCQ Centre Inspector. (Electronic signatures are acceptable).
- Where there may be doubt about the authenticity of the work of a candidate or if malpractice is suspected, follows the authentication procedures and malpractice information in <u>NEA</u> and informs a member of SLT
- Understands that if, during the external moderation process, it is found that the work has not been properly authenticated, the awarding body will set the mark(s) awarded by the centre to zero

#### Presentation of work

#### **Subject Teacher**

- Obtains informed consent at the beginning of the course from parents/carers if videos or photographs/images of candidates will be included as evidence of participation or contribution
- Instructs candidates to present work as detailed in <u>NEA</u> unless the awarding body's specification gives different subject-specific instructions
- Instructs candidates to add their candidate number, centre number and the component code of the assessment as a header/footer on each page of their work
- Ensures if candidates' work is to be submitted electronically, that it meets the awarding body's specified requirements

#### Keeping materials secure

- When work is being undertaken by candidates under formal supervision, ensures work is securely stored between sessions (if more than one session)
- When work is submitted by candidates for final assessment, ensures work is securely stored
- Secure storage instructions are followed as defined in NEA 4.8
- Takes sensible precautions when work is taken home for marking
- Stores internally assessed work, including the sample returned after awarding body moderation, securely until the closing date for reviews of results or until the outcome of a review or any subsequent appeal has been completed.
- If post-results services have not been requested, returns internally assessed work to candidates (if requested by a candidate) after the deadline for requesting a review of results for the relevant series
- If post-results services have been requested, returns internally assessed work to candidates (if requested by a candidate) once the review of results and any subsequent appeal has been completed

- Reminds candidates of the need to keep their own work secure at all times and not share completed
  or partially completed work on-line, on social media or through any other means
- Liaises with the IT Manager to ensure that appropriate arrangements are in place to restrict access between sessions to candidates' work where work is stored electronically
- Understands that during the period from the submission of work for formal assessment until the
  deadline for requesting a review of results, copies of work may be used for other purposes, provided
  that the originals are stored securely as required

#### **IT Manager**

- Ensures appropriate arrangements are in place to restrict access between sessions to candidates'
  work where work is stored electronically
- Restricts access to this material and utilises appropriate security safeguards such as firewall protection and virus scanning software
- Employs an effective back-up strategy so that an up to date archive of candidates' evidence is maintained
- Considers encrypting any sensitive digital media to ensure the security of the data stored within it and refers to awarding body guidance to ensure that the method of encryption is suitable

## Task marking – externally assessed components

#### Conduct of externally assessed work

#### **Subject Teacher**

- Liaises with the EO regarding the arrangements for any externally assessed components of a specification which must be conducted within a window of dates specified by the awarding body and where applicable according to JCQ Instructions for conducting examinations
- Liaises with the Visiting Examiner where this may be applicable to any externally assessed component

#### **Exams Officer**

- Arranges timetabling, rooming and invigilation where and if this is applicable to any externally assessed non-examination component of a specification
- Conducts the externally assessed component within the window specified by the awarding body
- Conducts the externally assessed component where applicable according to the JCQ publication Instructions for conducting examinations

#### **Submission of work**

#### Subject Teacher

Pays close attention to the attendance register, if applicable

#### **Exams Officer**

- Provides the attendance register to the subject teacher where applicable
- Ensures the awarding body's attendance register for any externally assessed component is completed correctly
- Where candidates' work must be despatched to an awarding body's examiner, or uploaded electronically, ensures this is completed by the date specified by the awarding body.
- Keeps a copy of the attendance register until after the deadline for reviews of results for the exam series
- Packages the work as required by the awarding body and attaches the examiner address label
- Ensures that the package in which the work is despatched is robust and securely fastened
- Despatches the work to the awarding body's instructions by the required deadline

# Task marking – internally assessed components

#### Marking and annotation

#### Head of centre

- Makes every effort to avoid situations where a candidate is assessed by a person who has a close personal relationship with the candidate, for example, members of their family (which includes stepfamily, foster family and similar close relationships) or close friends and their immediate family (e.g son/daughter)
- Where this cannot be avoided, ensures the possible conflict of interest is declared to the relevant awarding body and the marked work is submitted for moderation whether or not it is part of the moderation sample

#### Subject Leader

 Sets timescales for teachers to inform candidates of their centre-assessed marks that will allow sufficient time for a candidate to appeal an internal assessment decision/request a review of the centre's marking prior to the marks being submitted to the awarding body external deadline

#### **Subject Teacher**

- Accesses awarding body training/updates as required to ensure familiarity with the mark scheme/marking process
- Marks candidates' work in accordance with the marking criteria provided by the awarding body
- Annotates candidates' work as required to facilitate internal standardisation of marking and enable external moderation to check that marking is in line with the assessment criteria
- Informs candidates of their marks which could be subject to change by the awarding body moderation process
- Ensures candidates are informed of the timescale indicated in the centre's *internal appeals procedure* to enable an internal appeal/request for a review of marking to be submitted by a candidate and the outcome known before final marks are submitted to the awarding body

#### Internal standardisation

#### Quality Assurance (QA) Lead/Lead Internal Verifier

- Ensures that internal standardisation of marks across assessors and teaching groups takes place as required and to sequence
- Supports staff not familiar with the mark scheme (e.g. NQTs, supply staff etc.)
- Ensures accurate internal standardisation for example by:
  - o obtaining reference materials at an early stage in the course
  - holding a preliminary trial marking session prior to marking
  - o carrying out further trial marking at appropriate points during the marking period
  - o after most marking has been completed, holds a further meeting to make final adjustments
  - o making final adjustments to marks prior to submission
- retaining work and evidence of standardisation

#### Subject Teacher

- Indicates on work (or cover sheet) the date of marking
- Marks to common standards
- Keeps candidates work secure until after the closing date for review of results for the series concerned or until any appeal, malpractice or other results enquiry has been completed, whichever is later

#### Submission of marks and work for moderation

#### Subject Teacher

• Inputs and submits marks online, via the awarding body secure extranet site, keeping a record of the marks awarded, to the external deadline/Provides marks to the exams officer to the internal deadline

- Where responsible for marks input, ensures checks are made that marks for any additional candidates are submitted and ensures mark input is checked before submission to avoid transcription errors
- Submits the requested samples of candidates' work to the awarding body moderator by the external deadline, keeping a record of the work submitted/Provides the moderation sample to the exams officer to the internal deadline
- Ensures that where a candidate's work has been facilitated by a scribe or practical assistant, the
  relevant completed cover sheet is securely attached to the front of the work and sent to the moderator
  in addition to the sample requested
- Ensures the moderator is provided with authentication of candidates' work, confirmation that internal standardisation has been undertaken and any other subject-specific information where this may be required
- Submits any supporting documentation required by the awarding body/Provides the exams officer with any supporting documentation required by the awarding body

#### **Exams Officer**

- Inputs and submits marks online, via the awarding body secure extranet site, keeping a record of the
  marks submitted, to the external deadline and/or confirms with subject teachers that marks have been
  submitted to the awarding body deadline
- Where responsible for marks input, ensures checks are made that marks for any additional candidates are submitted and ensures mark input is checked before submission to avoid transcription errors
- Submits the requested samples of candidates' work to the moderator by the awarding body deadline, keeping a record of the work submitted.
- Ensures that for postal moderation:
  - work is dispatched in packaging provided by the awarding body
  - o moderator label(s) provided by the awarding body are affixed to the packaging
  - o proof of dispatch is obtained and kept on file until the successful issue of final results
- Through the subject teacher, ensures the moderator is provided with authentication of candidates'
  work, confirmation that internal standardisation has been undertaken and any other subject-specific
  information where this may be required
- Through the subject teacher, submits any supporting documentation required by the awarding body

#### Storage and retention of work after submission of marks

#### Subject Teacher

- Takes steps to protect any work stored electronically from corruption and has a back-up procedure in place
- Retains marked un-sampled candidates' work under secure conditions until after the deadline for the required retention period
- Retains evidence of work where retention may be a problem (for example, photos of artefacts etc.)
- In liaison with the IT Manager, takes steps to protect any work stored electronically from corruption and has a back-up procedure in place
- If retention is a problem because of the nature of the work, retains some form of evidence such as photos, audio or media recordings

#### **Exams Officer**

- Keeps a record of names and candidate numbers for candidates whose work was included in the moderation sample
- Retains all marked sampled work returned after moderation under secure conditions until after the deadline for enquiries about results
- Ensures all returned sampled work is logged.

#### **External moderation – the process**

#### Subject teacher

- Ensures that awarding body or its moderator receive the correct samples of candidates' work
- Where relevant, liaises with the awarding body/moderator where the moderator visits the centre to mark the sample of work
- Complies with any request from the moderator for remaining work or further evidence of the centre's marking

#### **External moderation - feedback**

#### **Subject Leaders**

- Checks the final moderated marks when issued to the centre when the results are published
- Checks moderator reports and ensures that any remedial action, if necessary, is undertaken before the next examination series

#### **Exams Officer**

- Forwards moderator reports to relevant staff
- Takes remedial action, if necessary, where feedback may relate to centre administration

# **Access arrangements**

#### **Subject Teacher**

 Works with the ALS lead/SENCo to ensure any access arrangements for eligible candidates are applied to assessments

#### Additional Learning Support (ALS lead/Special educational needs coordinator (SENCo)

- Follows the regulations and guidance in the JCQ publication:
  - Access Arrangements and Reasonable Adjustments
- In relation to non-examination assessments including Reasonable Adjustments for GCE A-level sciences Endorsement of practical skills
- Where arrangements do not undermine the integrity of the qualifications and is the candidate's normal
  way of working, will ensure access arrangements are in place and awarding body approval, where
  required, has been obtained prior to assessments taking place
- Makes subject teachers aware of any access arrangements for eligible candidates which need to be applied to assessments
- Work with subject teachers to ensure requirements for access arrangement candidates requiring the support of a facilitator in assessments are met
- Ensures that staff acting as an access arrangement facilitator are fully trained in their role

# Special consideration and loss of work

- Understands that a candidate may be eligible for special consideration in assessments in certain situations where a candidate
  - is absent
  - produces a reduced quantity of work
  - work has been lost
- Liaises with the exams officer when special consideration may need to be applied for a candidate taking assessments
- Liaises with the exams officer to report loss of work to the awarding body

#### **Exams Officer**

- Refers to/directs relevant staff to the JCQ publication:
  - A guide to the special consideration process
- Where a candidate is eligible, submits an application for special consideration via the awarding body's secure extranet site to the prescribed timescale
- Where application for special consideration via the awarding body's secure extranet site is not applicable, submits the required form to the awarding body to the prescribed timescale
- Refers to/directs relevant staff where applicable to <u>Form 15 JCQ/LCW</u> and where applicable submits to the relevant awarding body
- Keeps required evidence on file to support the application

### **Malpractice**

#### **Head of Centre**

- Understands the responsibility to immediately report to the relevant awarding body any alleged, suspected or actual incidents malpractice involving candidates or centre staff
- Ensures any irregularity identified by the centre before the candidate has signed the authentication statement (where required) are dealt with under its own internal procedures, with no requirement to report the irregularity to the awarding body (The only exception being where the awarding body's confidential assessment materials has been breached, the breach must be report to the awarding body)
- Is familiar with the JCQ publication: Suspected Malpractice: Policies and Procedures
- Ensures that those members of teaching staff involved in the direct supervision of candidates
  producing non-examination assessment are aware of the potential for malpractice and ensures that
  teaching staff are reminded that failure to report allegations of malpractice or suspected malpractice
  constitutes malpractice in itself

#### Subject Teacher

- Is aware of the JCQ publication: Notice to Centres Sharing NEA material and candidates' work
- Ensures candidates understand of the JCQ document:
  - <u>Information for candidates non-examination assessments</u>
- Ensures candidates understand the JCQ document:
  - Information for candidates Social Media
- Escalates and reports any alleged, suspected or actual incidents of malpractice involving candidates to the head of centre
- Ensures candidates understand what constitutes malpractice in non-examination assessments
- Ensures that candidates have read and signed the declaration on the use of plagiarism and Al in NEA/coursework assessments (see appendix A at end of this policy)

#### **Exams Officer**

- Signposts the JCQ publication: <u>Suspected Malpractice: Policies and Procedures</u> to the Head of Centre, subject leaders,
- Signposts the JCQ publication: <u>Notice to Centres NEA material and candidates' work to subject heads</u>
- Signposts candidates to the relevant JCQ information for candidate's documents
- Where required, supports the head of centre in investigating and reporting incidents of alleged, suspected or actual malpractice

#### **Candidates**

- Ensure that they have read the JCQ 'Information for Candidates' contained in the Student Information Booklet
- Have read and signed the declaration on the use of plagiarism and AI in NEA/coursework assessments (see appendix A at the end of this policy)

#### Post results services

#### **Head of Centre**

- Is familiar with the JCQ publication Post-Results Services
- Ensures the centre's internal appeals procedures clearly details the procedure to be followed by candidates (or their parents/carers) appealing against a centre decision not to support an application for a review of results request or not support a clerical check, a review of marking, a review of moderation or an appeal

#### **Subject Leaders**

Provides relevant support to subject teachers making decisions about review of results

#### **Subject Teacher**

- Provides advice and guidance to candidates on their results and the post-results services available
- Provides the exams officer with the original sample or relevant sample of candidates' work that may
  be required for a review of moderation to the internal deadline

#### **Exams Officer**

- Is aware of the individual post-results services available for externally assessed and internally assessed components of non-examination assessments as detailed in the JCQ publication:
   Post Results Services, Information and guidance for centres
- Provides/signposts relevant centre staff and candidates to post-results services information
- Ensures any requests for post-results services that are available to non-examination assessments are submitted online via the awarding body secure extranet site to deadline

#### Practical Skills Endorsement for the A Level Sciences designed for use in England

#### **Head of Centre**

- Returns an online 'Head of Centre declaration' at the time of the National Centre Number Register annual update confirming that all reasonable steps have been or will be taken to ensure that all candidates at the centre have had, or will have, the opportunity to undertake the prescribed practical activities
- Ensures new lead teachers undertake the required training provided by the awarding body on the implementation of the practical endorsement
- Ensures relevant centre staff liaise with all relevant parties in relation to arrangements for and conduct of the monitoring visit

#### Quality assurance (QA) lead/Lead internal verifier

• Ensures arrangements are in place for implementing the requirements of the practical endorsement appropriately and applying the standards appropriately

#### **Subject Leaders**

- Confirms understanding of the *Practical Skills Endorsement for the A Level Sciences designed for use in England* and ensures any relevant JCQ/awarding body instructions are followed.
- Ensures where the centre intends to enter candidates for the first time for one or more of the A level subjects, the relevant awarding body will be contacted at the beginning of the course
- Undertakes any training provided by the awarding body on the implementation of the practical endorsement
- Disseminates information to subject teachers ensuring the standards can be applied appropriately
- Liaises with all relevant parties in relation to arrangements for and conduct of a monitoring visit

- Ensures all the JCQ/awarding body requirements/.instructions in relation to the endorsement are known, understood and followed
- Ensures the required arrangements for practical activities are in place

- Provides all the required centre records
- Ensures candidates provide the required records
- Provides any required information to the subject lead regarding the monitoring visit
- Assesses candidates using Common Practical Assessment Criteria (CPAC)
- Applies for an exemption where a candidate cannot access the practical endorsement due to a substantial impairment
- Follows the awarding body's instructions for the submission of candidates Pass or Not Classified
  assessment outcome/provides assessment outcomes to the exams officer to the internal deadline

#### **Exams Officer**

- Accepts contact with the monitor and passes information to the subject lead for a visit to be arranged with at least two weeks' notice
- Confirms with the subject teacher that assessment outcomes have been submitted to the awarding body to the external deadline/Follows the awarding body's instructions for the submission of candidates Pass or Not Classified assessment outcome

# Spoken Language Endorsement for GCSE English Language specifications designed for use in England

#### **Head of Centre**

Returns an online 'Head of Centre declaration' at the time National Centre Number Register annual
update confirming that all reasonable steps have been or will be taken to ensure that all candidates at
the centre have had, or will have, the opportunity to undertake the Spoken Language endorsement

#### Quality Assurance (QA) Lead/Lead Internal Verifier

• Ensures the appropriate arrangements are in place for internal standardisation of assessments

#### Subject Leader

- Confirms understanding of the Spoken Language Endorsement for GCSE English Language specifications designed for use in England and ensures any relevant JCQ/awarding body instructions are followed
- Ensures the required task setting and task taking instructions are followed by subject teachers
- Ensures subject teachers assess candidates, either live or from recordings, using the common assessment criteria
- Ensures for monitoring purposes, audio-visual recordings of the presentations of a sample of candidates are provided

## **Subject Teacher**

- Ensures all the requirements in relation to the endorsement are known and understood
- Follows the required task setting and task taking instructions
- Assesses candidates, either live or from recordings, using the common assessment criteria
- Provides audio-visual recordings of the presentations of a sample of candidates for monitoring purposes
- Follows the awarding body's instructions for the submission of grades (*Pass, Merit, Distinction* or *Not Classified*) and the storage and submission of recordings

#### **Exams Officer**

 Follows the awarding body's instructions for the submission of grades and the storage and submission of recordings

# Deadline dates for the notification and submission of centre assessed marks

Subject	Awarding Body	Unit Code	Unit Title	Level	Entries	Deadline for mark submission to Board	Deadline for Marks to students	Deadline for Students requesting copies of their marked work	Deadline for copies of marked work to student	Deadline for response from student	Deadline for outcome of Appeal
Art/Photography	Edexcel	9FA0 01/02 9PY0 01/02	Investigation/ Externally set assignment.	А	7 5	31 May	13 May	16 May	Supervised access only	19 May	25 May
Biology	AQA	7402/C	Practical Endorsement	А	22	15 May	28 Mar	16 April	19 April	26 April	3 May
Chemistry	Edexcel	9CH03	Practical Endorsement	А	10	15 May	28 Mar	16 April	19 April	26 April	3 May
Physics	Edexcel	9PH03	Practical Endorsement	А	9	15 May	28 Mar	16 April	19 April	26 April	3 May
Drama & Theatre Studies	Edexcel	9DR0 01	Devising	А	4	15 May	28 Mar	16 April	19 April	26 April	3 May
Drama & Theatre Studies	Edexcel	9DR0 02	Performance	А	4	Externally assessed					
Design & Technology	AQA	8552	NEA	GCSE	20	7 May	28 Mar	16 April	19 April	26 April	3 May
Drama	Edexcel	1DR0 01	Devising	GCSE	8	15 May	28 Mar	16 April	19 April	26 April	3 Мау
English Language	AQA	7702/C	NEA	Α	13	15 May	28 Mar	16 April	19 April	26 April	3 May
English Literature	AQA	7717/C	NEA	Α	7	15 May	28 Mar	16 April	19 April	26 April	3 May
Extended Project  – Jan series	Edexcel	P301/2/3/4	Units 1/2/3/4	L3	5	10 Jan	17 Nov	17 April	22 Nov	6 Dec	13 Dec
Extended Project  – June Series	Edexcel	P301/2/3/4	Units 1/2/3/4	L3		15 May	28 Mar	16 April	19 April	26 April	3 May
History	OCR	Y100/02	NEA	А	22	15 May	28 Mar	16 April	19 April	26 April	3 May
English Language	AQA	8700/C	Spoken Language	GCSE	144	7 May	28 Mar	16 April	19 April	26 April	3 May
Music	Eduqas	C6660QS	Performing	GCSE	5	15 May	28 Mar	16 April	19 April	26 April	3 May
Music	Eduqas	C6660QS	Composing	GCSE	5	15 May	28 Mar	16 April	19 April	26 April	3 May
Physical education	Edexcel	1PE0 03	Practical	GCSE	48	15 days prior to examiner visit	30 days prior to modera tion	28 days prior to Modera tion	26 days prior to moderat ion	23 days prior to moderat ion	16 days prior to moderat ion
Physical Education	Edexcel	1PE0 04	PEP	GCSE	21	15 May	28 Mar	16 April	19 April	26 April	3 May
Cambridge Nat	OCR	J817/J834	_	Lv2	13	15 May	28 Mar	16 April	19 April	26 April	3 May
Art/Photography	Edexcel	1FA0 01/02 1PY0 01/02	Portfolio Externally Set Assignment	GCSE	7 15	2 days prior to moderator visit			Supervised access only		
ВТЕС	Edexcel			LV3		4 July	submis	before sion to erator			
BTEC Tech Awards	Edexcel	RCD3 Child	BCD01	L2	12	1 May	28 Mar	16 April	19 April	26 April	3 May
2120 ICCII AWai us	Lucktei	Development	BCD02		12	15 Dec	24 Nov	30 Nov	4 Dec	8 Dec	13 Dec
BTEC Tech Awards	Edexcel	RCB3	BCB01	L2	11	1 May	28 Mar	16 April	19 April	27 Apr	3 Мау
		Construction	BCD02			15 Dec	24 Nov	30 Nov	4 Dec	8 Dec	13 Dec

# Management of issues and potential risks associated with non-examination assessments

Issue/Risk	Centre actions to manage issue/mitigate risk	Action by
Centre staff malpractice	Records confirm that relevant centre staff are familiar with and follow:  • the current JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments  http://www.jcq.org.uk/exams-office/non-examination-assessments  • the JCQ document Notice to Centres - Sharing NEA material and candidates' work - https://www.jcq.org.uk/exams-office/non-examination-assessments/notice-to-centres-sharing-nea-material-and-candidates-work/  • Al Use in Assessments: Protecting the Integrity of Qualifications — https://www.jcq.org.uk/exams-office/malpractice/artificial-intelligence/	Exams Officer/SLT
Candidate malpractice	Records confirm that candidates are informed and understand they must not:  • submit work which is not their own • make available their work to other candidates through any medium • allow other candidates to have access to their own independently sourced material • assist other candidates to produce work • use books, the internet, AI or other sources without acknowledgement or attribution • submit work that has been word processed by a third party without acknowledgement • include inappropriate, offensive or obscene material Records confirm that candidates have been made aware of the JCQ documents Information for candidates - non-examination assessments and Information for candidates - Social Media - https://www.jcq.org.uk/exams-office/information-for-candidates-documents and understand they must not post their work on social media	Exams Officer (via Information Booklet) /Subject Leaders/Subject Teachers (by signing declaration)
	Task setting	
Awarding body set task: IT failure/corruption of task details where set task details accessed from the awarding body online	Awarding body key date for accessing/downloading set task noted prior to start of course IT systems checked prior to key date Alternative IT system used to gain access Awarding body contacted to request direct email of task details	IT Staff/Exams Officer
Centre set task: Subject teacher fails to meet the assessment criteria as detailed in the specification	Ensures that subject teachers access awarding body training information, practice materials etc. Records confirmation that subject teachers understand the task setting arrangements as defined in the awarding body's specification Samples assessment criteria in the centre set task	SLT/Subject Leaders
Candidates do not understand the marking criteria and what they need to do to gain credit	A simplified version of the awarding body's marking criteria described in the specification that is not specific to the work of an individual candidate or group of candidates is produced for candidates  Records confirm all candidates understand the marking criteria  Candidates confirm/record they understand the marking criteria	Subject Teachers
Subject teacher long term absence during the task setting stage	See centre's exam contingency plan (Teaching staff extended absence)	SLT
Issuing of tasks	Asserting head about data to	0.11. 11
Awarding body set task not issued to candidates on time	Awarding body key date for accessing set task as detailed in the specification noted prior to start of course  Course information issued to candidates contains details when set task will be issued and needs to be completed by	Subject Leaders

	Set task accessed well in advance to allow time for planning, resourcing and teaching	
The wrong task is given to candidates	Ensures course planning and information taken from the awarding body's specification confirms the correct task will be issued to candidates  Awarding body guidance sought where this issue remains unresolved	Subject Leaders
Subject teacher long term absence during the issuing of tasks stage	See centre's Exam Contingency Plan (Teaching staff extended absence)	SLT
A candidate (or parent/carer) expresses concern about safeguarding, confidentiality or faith in undertaking a task such as a presentation that may be recorded	Ensures the candidate's presentation does not form part of the sample which will be recorded Contacts the awarding body at the earliest opportunity where unable to record the required number of candidates for the monitoring sample	Subject leader/Exams Officer
	Task taking	
Supervision Planned assessments clash with other centre or candidate activities	Assessment plan identified for the start of the course Assessment dates/periods included in centre wide calendar	SLT/Subject Leaders
Rooms or facilities inadequate for candidates to take tasks under appropriate supervision	Timetabling organised to allocate appropriate rooms and IT facilities for the start of the course Staggered sessions arranged where IT facilities insufficient for number of candidates Whole cohort to undertake written task in large exam venue at the same time (exam conditions do not apply)	Exams Officer/SLT
Insufficient supervision of candidates to enable work to be authenticated	Confirm subject teachers are aware of and follow the current JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments and any other specific instructions detailed in the awarding body's specification in relation to the supervision of candidates  Confirm subject teachers understand their role and responsibilities as detailed in the Centre's non-examination assessment policy	SLT/Exams Officer
A candidate is suspected of malpractice prior to submitting their work for assessment	Instructions and processes in the current JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments (chapter 9 Malpractice) are followed An internal investigation and where appropriate internal disciplinary procedures are followed	Subject Leaders/SLT/ Exams Officer
Access arrangements were not put in place for an assessment where a candidate is approved for arrangements	Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A guide to the special consideration process (chapter 2), to determine the process to be followed to apply for special consideration for the candidate  Advice and feedback	Exams Officer
Candidate claims appropriate advice and feedback not given by subject teacher prior to starting on their work	Ensures a centre-wide process is in place for subject teachers to record all information provided to candidates before work begins as part of the centre's quality assurance procedures Regular monitoring of subject teacher completed records and sign-off to confirm monitoring activity  Full records kept detailing all information and advice given to candidates prior to starting on their work as appropriate to the subject and component  Candidate confirms/records advice and feedback given prior to starting on their work	Subject Leaders/SLT
Candidate claims no advice and feedback given by subject teacher during the task-taking stage	Ensures a centre-wide process is in place for subject teachers to record all advice and feedback provided to candidates during the task-taking stage as part of the centre's quality assurance procedures Regular monitoring of subject teacher completed records and sign-off to confirm monitoring activity Full records kept detailing all advice and feedback given to candidates during the task-taking stage as appropriate to the subject and component Candidate confirms/records advice and feedback given during the task-taking stage	Subject Leaders/SLT
A third-party claims that assistance was given to	An investigation is conducted; candidates and subject teacher are interviewed, and statements recorded where relevant	SLT/Exams Officer

candidates by the subject teacher over and above that allowed in the regulations and specification	Records as detailed above are provided to confirm all assistance given Where appropriate, a suspected malpractice report is submitted to the awarding body	
Candidate does not reference information from published source	Candidate is advised at a general level to reference information before work is submitted for formal assessment Candidate is again referred to the JCQ document Information for candidates: non-examination assessments Candidate's detailed record of his/her own research, planning, resources etc. is regularly checked to ensure continued completion	Subject Teacher
Candidate does not set out references as required	Candidate is advised at a general level to review and re-draft the set out of references before work is submitted for formal assessment Candidate is again referred to the JCQ document Information for candidates: non-examination assessments Candidate's detailed record of his/her own research, planning, resources etc. is regularly checked to ensure continued completion	Subject Teacher
Candidate joins the course late after formally supervised task taking has started	A separate supervised session(s) is arranged for the candidate to catch up	Subject Teacher
Candidate moves to another centre during the course	Awarding body guidance is sought to determine what can be done depending on the stage at which the move takes place	Exams Officer
An excluded pupil wants to complete his/her non-examination assessment(s)	The awarding body specification is checked to determine if the specification is available to a candidate outside mainstream education  If so, arrangements for supervision, authentication and marking are made separately for the candidate	Exams Officer/SENCo
	Resources	
A candidate augments notes and resources between formally supervised sessions	Preparatory notes and the work to be assessed are collected in and kept secure between formally supervised sessions Where memory sticks are used by candidates, these are collected in and kept secure between formally supervised sessions Where work is stored on the centre's network, access for candidates is restricted between formally supervised sessions	Subject Teacher
A candidate fails to acknowledge sources on work that is submitted for assessment	Candidate's detailed record of his/her own research, planning, resources etc. is checked to confirm all the sources used, including books, websites and audio/visual resources  Awarding body guidance is sought on whether the work of the candidate should be marked where candidate's detailed records acknowledges sources appropriately  Where confirmation is unavailable from candidate's records, awarding body guidance is sought and/or a mark of zero is submitted to the awarding body for the candidate  Word and time limits	Subject Leaders/SLT
A candidate is penalised by the awarding body for exceeding word or time limits	Records confirm the awarding body specification has been checked to determine if word or time limits are mandatory Where limits are for guidance only, candidates are discouraged from exceeding them Candidates confirm/record any information provided to them on word or time limits is known and understood  Collaboration and group work	Subject Teacher
Candidates have worked in groups where the awarding body specification states this is not permitted	Records confirm the awarding body specification has been checked to determine if group work is permitted Awarding body guidance sought where this issue remains unresolved	Subject Leader/Exams Officer
A teacher has doubts about the authenticity of the work submitted by a candidate for internal assessment  Candidate plagiarises other material	Authentication procedures  www.Turnitin.com will be used to check NEA work for use of Al and plagiarism. Candidates will be informed that this will take place prior to the final submission for assessment and signing of the candidate's declaration of authenticity. Candidate Records confirm subject staff have been made aware of the JCQ document Teachers sharing assessment material and candidates' work. Records confirm that candidates have been issued with the current JCQ document Information for candidates: non-examination assessments	Exams Officer

Candidate does not sign their authentication statement/declaration	Candidates confirm/record that they understand what they need to do to comply with the regulations for non-examination assessments as outlined in the JCQ document Information for candidates: non-examination assessments  The candidate's work is not accepted for assessment  A mark of zero is recorded and submitted to the awarding body  Records confirm that candidates have been issued with the current JCQ document Information for candidates: non-examination assessments  Candidates confirm/record they understand what they need to do to comply with the regulations as outlined in the JCQ document Information for candidates: non-examination assessments  Declaration is checked for signature before accepting the work of	Subject Teacher
Subject to a char not available to	a candidate for formal assessment	SLT
Subject teacher not available to sign authentication forms	Ensures a centre-wide process is in place for subject teachers to sign authentication forms at the point of marking candidates work as part of the centre's quality assurance procedures	SLI
	Presentation of work	
Candidate does not fully complete the awarding body's cover sheet that is attached to their worked submitted for formal assessment	Cover sheet is checked to ensure it is fully completed before accepting the work of a candidate for formal assessment	Subject Teacher
	Keeping materials secure	
Candidates work between formal supervised sessions is not securely stored	Records confirm subject teachers are aware of and follow current JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments Regular monitoring/internal audit ensures subject teacher use of appropriate secure storage	Subject Leaders
Adequate secure storage not available to subject teacher	Records confirm adequate/sufficient secure storage is available to subject teacher prior to the start of the course Alternative secure storage sourced where required	Subject Leaders
Candidates work produced electronically is not securely stored	Records confirm subject teachers are aware of and follow current JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments Internal processes and regular monitoring/internal audit by IT Manager ensures:  access to this material is restricted (insert how) appropriate security safeguards are in place (insert names/types of protection)	IT/Exams Officer
	an effective back-up strategy is employed so that an up to date archive of candidates' evidence is maintained (insert details of how work is backed up)  any sensitive digital media is encrypted (according to awarding body guidance to ensure that the method of encryption is suitable) to ensure the security of the data stored within it (insert relevant details of how)  Task marking – externally assessed components	
A candidate is absent on the	Awarding body guidance is sought to determine if alternative	Exams Officer
day of the examiner visit for an acceptable reason	assessment arrangements can be made for the candidate  If not, eligibility for special consideration is explored and a request submitted to the awarding body where appropriate	LAGITIS OTTION
A candidate is absent on the day of the examiner visit for an unacceptable reason	The candidate is marked absent on the attendance register	Subject Leader
	Task marking – internally assessed components	
A candidate submits little or no work	Where a candidate submits no work, the candidate is recorded as absent when marks are submitted to the awarding body Where a candidate submits little work, the work produced is assessed against the assessment criteria and a mark allocated appropriately; where the work does not meet any of the assessment criteria a mark of zero is submitted to the awarding body	Subject Leader
A candidate is unable to finish their work for unforeseen reason	Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments (section 8), to determine eligibility and the process to be followed for shortfall in work	Exams Officer

The work of a candidate is lost or damaged	Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A guide to the special consideration process (chapter 5), to determine eligibility and the process to be followed for lost or damaged work	Exams Officer
Candidate malpractice is discovered	Instructions and processes in the current JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments (chapter 9 Malpractice) are followed Investigation and reporting procedures in the current JCQ publication Suspected Malpractice in Examinations and Assessments are followed Appropriate internal disciplinary procedures are also followed	SLT/ Exams Officer
A teacher assesses the work of a candidate with whom they have a close personal relationship e.g. members of their family (which includes stepfamily, foster family and similar close relationships) or close friends and their immediate family (e.g. son/daughter)	A possible conflict of interest is declared by informing the awarding body before the published deadline for entries for each examination series, that a teacher is preparing/teaching said child at the start of the course  Marked work of said child is submitted for moderation whether part of the sample requested or not	Subject Leader/Exams Officer
An extension to the deadline for submission of marks is required for a legitimate reason	Awarding body is contacted to determine if an extension can be granted Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A guide to the special consideration process (chapter 5), to determine eligibility and the process to be followed for non-examination assessment extension	Exams Officer
After submission of marks, it is discovered that the wrong task was given to candidates	Awarding body is contacted for guidance Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A guide to the special consideration process (chapter 2), to determine eligibility and the process to be followed to apply for special consideration for candidates	Exams Officer
A candidate wishes to appeal/request a review of the marks awarded for their work by their teacher	Candidates are informed of the marks they have been awarded for their work prior to the marks being submitted to the awarding body Records confirm candidates have been informed of their marks Candidates are informed that these marks are subject to change through the awarding body's moderation process Candidates are informed of their marks to the timescale identified in the centre's internal appeals procedure and prior at least two weeks prior to the internal deadline set by the exams officer for the submission of marks Through the candidate exam handbook, candidates are made aware of the centre's internal appeals procedures and timescale for submitting an appeal/request for a review of the centre's marking prior to the submission of marks to the awarding body	Subject Teacher/Exams Officer
Deadline for submitting work for formal assessment not met by candidate	Records confirm deadlines given and understood by candidates at the start of the course Candidates confirm/record deadlines known and understood Depending on the circumstances, awarding body guidance sought to determine if the work can be accepted late for marking providing the awarding body's deadline for submitting marks can be met Decision made (depending on the circumstances) if the work will be accepted late for marking or a mark of zero submitted to the awarding body for the candidate	Subject Leader/Exams Officer
Deadline for submitting marks and samples of candidate's work ignored by subject teacher	Internal/external deadlines are published at the start of each academic year Reminders are issued through senior leaders/subject heads as deadlines approach Records confirm deadlines known and understood by subject teachers Where appropriate, internal disciplinary procedures are followed	SLT
Subject teacher long term absence during the marking period	See centre's Exam Contingency Plan (Teaching staff extended absence)	SLT

# Appendix A

Important Information for Candidates on identifying the use of plagiarism and/or artificial intelligence (AI) in all Non-Examined Assessments (NEA) and Coursework at BGN School

'Al misuse constitutes malpractice as defined in the JCQ Suspected Malpractice: Policies and Procedures (https://www.jcq.org.uk/exams-office/malpractice/). The malpractice sanctions available for the offences of 'making a false declaration of authenticity' and 'plagiarism' include disqualification and debarment from taking qualifications for a number of years.

JCQ AI Use in Assessments: Protecting the Integrity of Qualifications https://www.jcq.org.uk/exams-office/malpractice/artificial-intelligence/

To mitigate against the use of plagiarism and / or AI in NEA or Coursework modules; all students' work will be uploaded to *Turnitin*, (an online platform used widely in further and higher education to detect both plagiarism and use of AI). <a href="https://www.turnitin.com/regions/uk/divisions/secondary-education">https://www.turnitin.com/regions/uk/divisions/secondary-education</a>.

It is the responsibility of the student not to plagiarise (often the result of 'cut and pasting') or to use any tools of artificial intelligence. If the exam board detects any abuse of this, then the student risks malpractice sanctions as mentioned above. Therefore, it is also the responsibility of the student to have their work checked by *Turnitin* and to act upon the report to rectify their work, if necessary, as outlined below. It is also their responsibility to allow sufficient time for their work to be checked and amended in order to meet their teacher's final deadline on time.

#### Procedure: Teaching staff will:-

- 1. inform students of the consequences of the use of plagiarism or Al.
- 2. Inform students of the procedure for submitting final work.
- 3. ensure that all students in their class know how to use Turnitin.
- 4. will give students in each NEA/Coursework subject, guidance on how to log in and use *Turnitin* and, if needed, guidance on how to use the report to amend their work before their final submission.
- 5. the Sixth Form team will ensure that all relevant classes are enrolled on *Turnitin*, and that each student knows their log in, by producing the user guides which students need to access the platform effectively.
- 6. sample a proportion of student work using Turnitin as a final check before sending to the board.

#### Procedure: Students

- 1. Students who have a non-examined assessment or coursework in any Level 3 subject, will upload their work to *Turnitin* a minimum of a week before their final deadline. This will allow time to correct work if plagiarism or the used of Al is found. (*Turnitin* produces a 'similarity index' and if all text which is the work of others has been properly footnoted and attributed, then work is not plagiarised).
- 2. Teachers will check the level of plagiarism/Al used in *Turnitin*; students will then have at least a week to correct their work.
- 3. Students will then submit their revised work to *Turnitin* by the final deadline and submit a paper copy of their work to their teacher plus an electronic copy in case further checking is needed by the teacher

Any work which is found to be plagiarised needs to be either re written or properly attributed. Similarly, if *Turnitin* finds text which is the result of the use of tools of artificial intelligence, then it is the student's responsibility to rewrite that work.

SUBJECT:				
I have been told and understand what contains either or both of these.	at plagiarism and AI is. I understand the consequences if my work			
STUDENT NAME:	STUDENT SIGNATURE:			
DATE:				